arXiv:1409.6195v2 [math.FA] 13 Jan 2016

Differentiable mappings between weighted restricted products

Boris Walter

Universität Paderborn
Institut für Mathematik
Warburger Straße 100
33098 Paderborn
E-Mail: bwalter@math.upb.de

In this paper, we introduce restricted products for families of locally convex spaces and formulate criteria ensuring that mappings into such products are continuous or smooth. As a special case, can define restricted products of weighted function spaces and obtain results concerning continuity and differentiability properties of natural non-linear mappings between such spaces. These concepts and results are the basis for the study of weighted vector fields on Riemannian manifolds in a subsequent work (see [B. Walter, *Weighted diffeomorphism groups of Riemannian manifolds*, `arXiv: 1601.02834`]), which serve as modelling spaces for suitable infinite-dimensional Lie groups of diffeomorphisms.

2010 MSC: Primary 46E10, Secondary 46T20, 26E15, 26E20.

1 Introduction

In the book [Wal12], Lie groups of weighted diffeomorphisms on Banach spaces were constructed (see already [Mic06] for rapidly decreasing diffeomorphisms of the real line; cf. [MM13] and [KMR15] for later developments). The model space used for these groups are weighted mappings between Banach spaces. In order to construct Lie groups of weighted diffeomorphisms on non-compact manifolds, we need to define spaces of weighted vector fields. The purpose of this paper, whose content is a part of the author's dissertation, is to develop a framework for such spaces and tools to handle them efficiently. In particular, we define and examine some kind of *simultaneously* weighted functions.

As a motivating example, consider the direct product

$$M := \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}$$

of the real line and the circle group. Then smooth vector fields on M can be identified with smooth functions

$$\gamma : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2 : (x, y) \mapsto \gamma(x, y)$$

which are 2π-periodic in the y-variable. To control the asymptotic behaviour of vector fields (and the diffeomomorphisms arising from their flows) at infinity, it is natural to impose that γ (and its partial derivatives) decays polynomially as $x \to \pm\infty$ in the sense that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$x^n \gamma(x, y)$$

is bounded for $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (and hence γ tends to 0 as $x \to \infty$). Likewise, we could impose that γ and all its partial derivatives are bounded, or have exponential decay. The preceding approach hinges on the very specific situation considered; namely, that we have the local diffeomorphism $q\colon (t, s) \mapsto (t, e^{is})$ from $\mathbb{R}^2$ (on which vector fields can be identified with smooth functions $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$) onto $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}$. Of course, one would like to be able to describe weighted vector fields as just encountered also without reference to q, and for general manifolds, none of whose covering manifolds may admit a global chart.

To explain the basic idea of such a construction, let M be a manifold, $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ a weight on M and $X : M \to \mathbf{T}M$ a vector field. There is no canonical way to express what it means that X is bounded with respect to f. In contrast, for a chart κ for M we perfectly understand what it means if the function $X_\kappa = d\kappa \circ X \circ \kappa^{-1}$ is bounded with respect to the weight $f \circ \kappa^{-1}$. So we may say that X is bounded with respect to f if all its localizations (with respect to an atlas $\mathcal{A}$) are so, and define seminorms with respect to f and an order of differentiation. For a nonempty set $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^M$ of weights, this leads to the definition of a topology on a subset of the product $\prod_{\kappa \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{W}_\kappa}(U_\kappa, \mathbb{R}^d)$, where $\mathcal{W}_\kappa := \{f \circ \kappa^{-1} : f \in \mathcal{W}\}$, that generally is finer than the ordinary product topology.

It is efficient to follow an even more general approach. First, we define a *restricted product* for a family of locally convex spaces when there exists a set J such that each space has a set of generating seminorms that can be indexed over J, and prove some results about these kind of spaces. After that, we define *weighted restricted products*. These consist of functions that are defined on the disjoint union of open subsets of arbitrary normed spaces, and are bounded w.r.t. weights which also are defined on this union.

Of particular interest is the question of whether operations between these spaces that are defined factorwise are continuous or smooth. We will see that many maps of this type behave quite well, and their exact continuity and differentiability properties (as recorded in Propositions 4.21, 4.28 and 4.29) are the main results of this paper and the backbone of the construction of weighted diffeomorphism groups in [Wal16].

We mention that differentiable maps between weighted sequence spaces isomorphic to $c_0(E)$ (with values in a Banach space E) have also been studied by [Irw70] and [Wel76] to some extent, and used to construct stable manifolds around hyperbolic fixed points of time-discrete smooth dynamical systems on Banach manifolds (using Irwin's method).

Differentiable maps between locally convex direct sums of locally convex spaces (into which spaces of compactly supported vector fields can be embedded) were studied in

[Glö03]. They simplify the proofs for smoothness of the relevant non-linear mappings in the construction of a Lie group structure on the diffeomorphism group of a non-compact manifold (first treated in [Mic80]), see [Glö02b] and [Sch15] (where the method is extended to diffeomorphism groups of orbifolds).

The restricted products and differentiability properties discussed in this article play an analogous role in the case of weighted diffeomorphism groups. Our results on simultaneous superposition can be regarded as a substitute of the familiar ω-lemma for superposition on spaces of sections (see, e.g., [Mic80] or [Pal68]) in the weighted situation. Finally, we mention that concepts of "boundedness" for vector fields (and their covariant derivatives) can also be formulated in the context of bounded geometry, and have been used to construct certain diffeomorphism groups in this setting (see [Eic07]), using different methods.

2 Definitions and previous results

Before we start, we have to repeat some of the notation and results of [Wal12]. We set $\overline{S} := S \cup \{\infty\}$ for $S \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N}\}$. Other notation is introduced when it is first used.

2.1 Spaces of weighted functions

Definition 2.1. Let X and Y be normed spaces and $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a map $f : U \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, we define the quasinorm

$$\|\cdot\|_{f,k} : \mathcal{FC}^k(U,Y) \to [0,\infty] : \phi \mapsto \sup\{|f(x)|\,\|D^{(k)}\phi(x)\|_{op} : x \in U\}$$

on the set of k-times Fréchet differentiable functions. Furthermore, for any nonempty set $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^U$ and $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ we define the vector space

$$\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Y) := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{FC}^k(U,Y) : (\forall f \in \mathcal{W}, \ell \in \mathbb{N}, \ell \leq k)\, \|\gamma\|_{f,\ell} < \infty\}$$

and notice that the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{f,\ell}$ induce a locally convex vector space topology on $\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Y)$. We call the elements of $\mathcal{W}$ *weights* and $\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Y)$ a *space of weighted maps* or *space of weighted functions*.

Further, we define the *maximal extension* $\mathcal{W}_{\max} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^U$ of $\mathcal{W}$ as the set of functions f for which $\|\cdot\|_{f,0}$ is a continuous seminorm on $\mathcal{C}^0_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Y)$, for each normed space Y. Obviously $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\max}$ and we can show that $\|\cdot\|_{f,\ell}$ is a continuous seminorm on each $\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Y)$, provided that $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\max}$ and $\ell \leq k$.

An important tool for dealing with higher differentiability orders is the following:

Lemma 2.2 (Reduction to lower order)**.** *Let X and Y be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^U$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{FC}^1(U,Y)$. Then*

$$\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^{k+1}_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Y) \iff (D\gamma, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U, \mathrm{L}(X,Y)) \times \mathcal{C}^0_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Y).$$

Moreover, the map

$$\mathcal{C}^{k+1}_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Y) \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U, \mathrm{L}(X,Y)) \times \mathcal{C}^0_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Y) : \gamma \mapsto (D\gamma, \gamma)$$

is a topological embedding.

Occasionally, we will need the following lemma. A more general version is stated and proved in [Wal12, La. 3.4.16].

Lemma 2.3. *Let X, Y and Z be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^U$ nonempty. Then the map*

$$\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U, Y \times Z) \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Y) \times \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Z) : \gamma \mapsto (\pi_Y \circ \gamma, \pi_Z \circ \gamma)$$

is an isomorphism of locally convex topological vector spaces.

2.2 Differentialbility and smooth maps between weighted function spaces

We recall basic definitions for the differential calculus for maps between locally convex spaces that is known as Kellers C^k_c-theory. More information about this calculus can be found in [Bas64], [Kel74], [Mil84], [Mic80], [Glö02a] or [Nee06].

Definition 2.4. Let X and Y be locally convex spaces, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set and $f : U \to Y$ a map. We say that f is $\mathcal{C}^1$ if for all $u \in U$ and $x \in X$, the directional derivative

$$\lim_{\substack{t \to 0 \\ t \neq 0}} \frac{f(u+tx) - f(u)}{t} =: df(u;x),$$

exists and the map $df : U \times X \to Y$ is continuous. Inductively, for a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we call f $\mathcal{C}^k$ if f is $\mathcal{C}^1$ and $df : U \times X \to Y$ is a $\mathcal{C}^{k-1}$-map. We write $\mathcal{C}^k(U,Y)$ for the set of k-times differentiable maps.

The Continuity of parameter-dependent integrals is an useful tool when dealing with differential quotients. Here the integral is a weak integral; see [Bil07, Sec. 3] for details. In particular, the following is stated (and proved) in Prop. 3.5.

Lemma 2.5 (Continuity of parameter-dependent integrals)**.** *Let P be a topological space, X a locally convex space, $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ a proper interval and $a, b \in I$. Further, let $f : P \times I \to X$ be a continuous map such that the weak integral*

$$\int_a^b f(p,t)\, dt =: g(p)$$

exists for all $p \in P$. Then the map $g : P \to X$ is continuous.

2.2.1 Smooth maps between weighted function spaces

We give two examples of smooth maps between weighted function spaces which we will adapt to the case of weighted restricted products.

Composition of weighted functions The following result about the differentiability of composition is proved in [Wal12, Sec. 4.1.1], with slightly different notation. More precisely, the following are the assertions of La. 4.1.3 and Prop. 4.1.7. Here, $\mathbb{D}$ denotes the unit ball of $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let X and Y be normed spaces, $U, V, W \subseteq X$ open nonempty subsets such that $V + U \subseteq W$ and V is balanced, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^W$ with $1_W \in \mathcal{W}$ and $k, \ell \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{c}^{Y,k}_{\mathcal{W},\ell} : \mathcal{C}^{k+\ell+1}_{\mathcal{W}}(W,Y) \times \mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U,V) \to \mathcal{C}^{k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Y) : (\gamma,\eta) \mapsto \gamma \circ (\eta + \mathrm{id}_U)$$

is defined and a $\mathcal{C}^\ell$-map. If $\ell > 0$, then it has the directional derivative

$$d\mathfrak{c}^{Y,k}_{\mathcal{W},\ell}(\gamma,\eta;\gamma_1,\eta_1) = \mathfrak{c}^{\mathrm{L}(X,Y),k}_{\mathcal{W},\ell-1}(D\gamma,\eta)\cdot\eta_1 + \mathfrak{c}^{Y,k}_{\mathcal{W},\ell}(\gamma_1,\eta). \tag{2.6.1}$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{c}^{Y,k}_{\mathcal{W}} := \mathfrak{c}^{Y,k}_{\mathcal{W},\infty}$ and $\mathfrak{c}^{Y}_{\mathcal{W}} := \mathfrak{c}^{Y,\infty}_{\mathcal{W},\infty}$ are smooth.

Further, for $\gamma, \gamma_0 \in \mathcal{C}^0_{\mathcal{W}}(W,Y) \cap \mathcal{BC}^1(W,Y)$ and suitable $\eta, \eta_0 \in \mathcal{C}^0_{\mathcal{W}}(U,V)$, $f \in \mathcal{W}$ and $x \in U$ the following estimates hold:

$$|f(x)|\,\|\gamma\circ(\eta+\mathrm{id}_X)(x)\| \le |f(x)|\,(\|\gamma\|_{1_{\{x\}+\mathbb{D}\eta(U)},1}\,\|\eta(x)\| + \|\gamma(x)\|) \tag{2.6.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}(\gamma,\eta) - \tilde{\mathfrak{c}}(\gamma_0,\eta_0)\|_{f,0} \le \|\gamma\|_{1_W,1}\|\eta-\eta_0\|_{f,0} \\ + \|\gamma-\gamma_0\|_{1_W,1}\|\eta_0\|_{f,0} + \|\gamma-\gamma_0\|_{f,0}\end{aligned}. \tag{2.6.3}$$

Inversion of weighted functions The results about inversion in [Wal12, Sec. 4.2.1] don't allow the treatment of weighted functions that are defined on a subset of a vector space. Since we encounter such functions when we are treating localized vector fields, better tools had to be provided. The following assertions are special cases of the more general elaborations in [Wal13, Sec. 4.2.1].

Proposition 2.7. *Let X be a Banach space, $U, V \subseteq X$ open nonempty subsets such that U is convex and there exists $r > 0$ with $V + B_r(0) \subseteq U$. Further, let $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^U$ with $1_U \in \mathcal{W}$, $\tau \in]0,1[$ and*

$$\mathcal{D}_\tau := \left\{\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(U,X) : \|\phi\|_{1_U,1} < \tau \text{ and } \|\phi\|_{1_U,0} < \frac{r}{2}(1-\tau)\right\}.$$

Then the map

$$I^V_{\mathcal{W}} : \mathcal{D}_\tau \to \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(V,X) : \phi \mapsto (\phi + \mathrm{id}_U)^{-1}|_V - \mathrm{id}_V$$

is defined and smooth. In particular, for $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_\tau$ and $\phi_1 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(U, X)$ we have that

$$dI^V_{\mathcal{W}}(\phi;\phi_1) = -\mathfrak{c}^X_{\mathcal{W}}(QI(D\phi)\cdot\phi_1 + \phi_1, I^V_{\mathcal{W}}(\phi)). \tag{2.7.1}$$

and

$$D\, I^V_{\mathcal{W}}(\phi) = (D\phi \cdot QI(-D\phi) - D\phi) \circ (I^V_{\mathcal{W}}(\phi) + \mathrm{id}_V); \tag{2.7.2}$$

here QI denotes the quasi-inversion of the algebra $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(U, \mathrm{L}(X))$ (which arises as the the superposition with $QI_{\mathrm{L}(X)}$ and is discussed in [Wal12, Sec. 3.3.3.3 and App. C]). Further, for $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_\tau$, $f \in \mathcal{W}$ and $x \in V$, the estimates

$$|f(x)|\,\|I^V_{\mathcal{W}}(\phi)(x)\| \leq \frac{|f(x)|\,\|\phi(x)\|}{1 - \|\phi\|_{1_U,1}} \tag{2.7.3}$$

and

$$\|I^V_{\mathcal{W}}(\psi) - I^V_{\mathcal{W}}(\phi)\|_{f,0} \leq \tfrac{1}{1-\|\psi\|_{1_U,1}} \left(\|\phi - \psi\|_{1_U,1} \tfrac{\|\phi\|_{f,0}}{1-\|\phi\|_{1_U,1}} + \|\phi - \psi\|_{f,0} \right). \tag{2.7.4}$$

hold.

3 A superposition operator on weighted functions

Before we can turn our attention to restricted products, we examine whether a function $\Xi : U \times V \to Z$ induces a superposition operation $\gamma \mapsto \Xi \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \gamma)$ on weighed functions. We show that this is the case if $0 \in V$, Ξ maps $U \times \{0\}$ to 0, and if the size of the derivatives of Ξ can be covered with the weights, see (3.3.4) for the precise phrasing. In Proposition 4.21, we will adapt this result to weighted restricted products.

In [Wal12, La. 6.2.14], a similar result was proved, but for a very different sort of weighted function space. In contrast to assertions about superposition operators in [Wal12], we use a more quantitative approach.

3.1 Estimates for higher derivatives

We give estimates for the higher derivatives of a function of two variables, provided it is linear in its second argument. We also turn to more special cases of such functions.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X, Y and Z be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}^*$ and $\Xi \in \mathcal{FC}^k(U \times Y, Z)$ a map that is linear in its second argument. Further, let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$, $x \in U$ and $y \in Y$.*

(a) The map $D^{(\ell)}_1\Xi$ is linear in the second argument. Hence $D^{(\ell)}_1\Xi(U \times \{0\}) = \{0\}$ and (if $\ell < k$)

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} D^{(\ell)}_1\Xi(x + th_1, y + th_2) = D^{(\ell)}_1\Xi(x, h_2) + D^{(\ell+1)}_1\Xi(x, y)\neg h_1. \tag{$\dagger$}$$

Here, for an $(m+1)$-linear map $b : E_1 \times \cdots \times E_{m+1} \to F$, for $h \in E_{m+1}$ we let $b\neg h$ denote the m-linear map $E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m \to F : (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \mapsto b(x_1, \ldots, x_m, h)$.

(b) Suppose that $\ell \geq 1$. Let $h^1, \ldots, h^\ell \in X \times Y$ with $h^j = (h_1^j, h_2^j)$. Then the identity

$$D^{(\ell)}\Xi(x,y)\cdot(h^1,\ldots,h^\ell) = D_1^{(\ell)}\Xi(x,y)\cdot(h_1^1,\ldots,h_1^\ell) + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} D_1^{(\ell-1)}\Xi(x,h_2^j)\cdot\widehat{h_1^j}$$

holds, where $\widehat{h_1^j} := (h_1^1,\ldots,h_1^{j-1},h_1^{j+1},\ldots,h_1^\ell)$. In particular,

$$\|D^{(\ell)}\Xi(x,y)\|_{op} \leq \ell\|D_1^{(\ell-1)}\Xi(x,\cdot)\|_{op} + \|D_1^{(\ell)}\Xi(x,\cdot)\|_{op}\|y\|. \qquad (\dagger\dagger)$$

(c) Suppose that there exist a normed space $\widetilde{X}$, a map $g \in \mathcal{FC}^k(U,\widetilde{X})$ and a continuous bilinear map $b : \widetilde{X} \times Y \to Z$ such that $\Xi = b \circ (g \times \mathrm{id}_Y)$. Then

$$D_1^{(\ell)}\Xi(x,y)\cdot(h_1,\ldots,h_\ell) = b(D^{(\ell)}g(x)\cdot(h_1,\ldots,h_\ell),y),$$

for $h_1,\ldots,h_\ell \in X$. In particular,

$$\|D_1^{(\ell)}\Xi(x,\cdot)\|_{op} \leq \|b\|_{op}\|D^{(\ell)}g(x)\|_{op} \qquad (\dagger\,\dagger\,\dagger)$$

and (if $\ell \geq 1$)

$$\|D^{(\ell)}\Xi(x,y)\|_{op} \leq \|b\|_{op}\ell\|D^{(\ell-1)}g(x)\|_{op} + \|b\|_{op}\|y\|\,\|D^{(\ell)}g(x)\|_{op}. \qquad (3.1.1)$$

Proof. (a) We prove by induction on ℓ that $d_1^{(\ell)}\Xi$ is linear in its second argument. For $\ell = 0$, this is true by our assumption.

$\ell \to \ell+1$: Since for $h_1,\ldots,h_{\ell+1} \in X$,

$$d_1^{(\ell+1)}\Xi(x,y;h_1,\ldots,h_{\ell+1}) = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} d_1^{(\ell)}\Xi(x+th_{\ell+1},y;h_1,\ldots,h_\ell),$$

and $d_1^{(\ell)}\Xi$ is linear in its second argument, also $d_1^{(\ell+1)}\Xi$ is so.

We prove (†). We get using the linearity of $D_1^{(\ell)}\Xi$ in the second argument

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} D_1^{(\ell)}\Xi(x+th_1,y+th_2) = \lim_{t\to 0} D_1^{(\ell)}\Xi(x+th_1,h_2) + \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} D_1^{(\ell)}\Xi(x+th_1,y)$$

Since $\lim_{t\to 0} D_1^{(\ell)}\Xi(x+th_1,h_2) = D_1^{(\ell)}\Xi(x,h_2)$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} D_1^{(\ell)}\Xi(x+th_1,y)\cdot(v_1,\ldots,v_\ell) = D_1^{(\ell+1)}\Xi(x,y)(v_1,\ldots,v_\ell,h_1),$$

for $v_1,\ldots,v_\ell \in X$, the desired identity follows.

(b) We prove the identity for $D^{(\ell)}\Xi$ by induction on ℓ.

$\ell = 1$: This follows directly from (†).

$\ell \to \ell+1$: We calculate the $(\ell+1)$-th derivative of Ξ using the inductive hypothesis and (†):

$$\begin{aligned}
&D^{(\ell+1)}\Xi(x,y)\cdot(h^1,\dots,h^{\ell+1})\\
=&\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}D^{(\ell)}\Xi(x+th_1^{\ell+1},y+th_2^{\ell+1})\cdot(h^1,\dots,h^\ell)\\
=&\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}D_1^{(\ell)}\Xi(x+th_1^{\ell+1},y+th_2^{\ell+1})\cdot(h_1^1,\dots,h_1^\ell)+\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}D_1^{(\ell-1)}\Xi(x+th_1^{\ell+1},h_2^j)\cdot\widehat{h_1^j}\\
=&D_1^{(\ell)}\Xi(x,h_2^{\ell+1})\cdot(h_1^1,\dots,h_1^\ell)+D_1^{(\ell+1)}\Xi(x,y)\cdot(h_1^1,\dots,h_1^\ell,h_1^{\ell+1})+\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}D_1^{(\ell)}\Xi(x,h_2^j)\cdot\widehat{h_1^j},
\end{aligned}$$

from which we derive the assertion.

The estimate (††) follows directly from this identity.

(c) We first prove the identity by induction on ℓ. The assertion obviously holds for $\ell=0$.

$\ell \to \ell+1$: We use the inductive hypothesis to calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1^{(\ell+1)}\Xi(x,y)\cdot(h_1,\dots,h_{\ell+1}) &= \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}D_1^{(\ell)}\Xi(x+th_{\ell+1},y)\cdot(h_1,\dots,h_\ell)\\
&= \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}b(D^{(\ell)}g(x+th_{\ell+1})\cdot(h_1,\dots,h_\ell),y) = b(D^{(\ell+1)}g(x)\cdot(h_1,\dots,h_{\ell+1}),y),
\end{aligned}$$

so the assertion is established.

The estimate († † †) follows directly from this identity. Furthermore, we derive (3.1.1) from (††) and († † †). □

Lemma 3.2. *Let E, F, X, Y and Z be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ and $V \subseteq Y$ open nonempty sets, $b : \mathrm{L}(Y,Z)\times E \to F$ continuous bilinear with $\|b\|_{op} \le 1$ and $\Xi \in \mathcal{FC}^\infty(U\times V,Z)$. We define*

$$\Xi_b^{(2)} : U\times V\times E \to F : (x,y,e)\mapsto b(D_2\Xi(x,y),e).$$

Then $\Xi_b^{(2)}(U\times V\times\{0\}) = \{0\}$, and for each $\ell\in\mathbb{N}^*$, we have

$$\|D^{(\ell)}\Xi_b^{(2)}(x,y,e)\|_{op} \le \ell\|D^{(\ell)}\Xi(x,y)\|_{op} + \|e\|\,\|D^{(\ell+1)}\Xi(x,y)\|_{op}.$$

Moreover, for each $R>0$,

$$\|\Xi_b^{(2)}\|_{1_{U\times V\times B_E(0,R)},\ell} \le \ell\|\Xi\|_{1_{U\times V},\ell} + R\|\Xi\|_{1_{U\times V},\ell+1}. \tag{3.2.1}$$

Proof. We get from (3.1.1) that

$$\|D^{(\ell)}\Xi_b^{(2)}(x,y,e)\|_{op} \le \ell\|D^{(\ell-1)}(D_2\Xi)(x,y)\|_{op} + \|e\|\,\|D^{(\ell)}(D_2\Xi)(x,y)\|_{op}.$$

Since

$$\|D^{(\ell)}(D_2\Xi)(x,y)\|_{op} \le \|D^{(\ell)}(D\Xi)(x,y)\|_{op} = \|D^{(\ell+1)}\Xi(x,y)\|_{op}$$

for all $\ell\in\mathbb{N}^*$, we obtain the first estimate. (3.2.1) follows. □

3.2 The superposition operator

We prove the above assertion about the superposition, using notation from Lemma 3.2. The hardest part of the proof will be the examination of the superposition with $\Xi_M^{(2)}$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let X, Y and Z be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty subset, $V \subseteq Y$ an open neighborhood of 0 that is star-shaped with center 0, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^U$ with $1_U \in \mathcal{W}$ and $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$. Further, let $\Xi \in \mathcal{FC}^\infty(U \times V, Z)$ such that $\Xi(U \times \{0\}) = \{0\}$.*

(a) For maps $\gamma, \eta : U \to V$ such that the line segment $\{t\gamma + (1-t)\eta : t \in [0,1]\} \subseteq V^U$ and $f \in \mathcal{W}$, the estimate

$$\|\Xi \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \gamma) - \Xi \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \eta)\|_{f,0} \leq \|D_2\Xi\|_{1_{U\times V},0}\|\gamma - \eta\|_{f,0} \tag{3.3.1}$$

holds. In particular, for $\eta = 0$ we get

$$\|\Xi \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \gamma)\|_{f,0} \leq \|D_2\Xi\|_{1_{U\times V},0}\|\gamma\|_{f,0}. \tag{3.3.2}$$

(b) Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{FC}^1(U,V)$. Then

$$D(\Xi \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \gamma)) = D_1\Xi \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \gamma) + D_2\Xi \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \gamma) \cdot D\gamma.$$

The map $D_1\Xi$ maps $U \times \{0\}$ to 0, and for $f \in \mathcal{W}$, we have

$$\|\Xi \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \gamma)\|_{f,1} \leq \|\Xi\|_{1_{U\times V},2}\|\gamma\|_{f,0} + \|D_2\Xi\|_{1_{U\times V},0}\|\gamma\|_{f,1}. \tag{3.3.3}$$

(c) Suppose that

$$(\forall f \in \mathcal{W}, \ell \in \mathbb{N}^*)(\exists g \in \mathcal{W}_{\max})\, \|\Xi\|_{1_{U\times V},\ell}|f| \leq |g|. \tag{3.3.4}$$

Then the map

$$\Xi_* : \mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U,V) \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Z) : \gamma \mapsto \Xi \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \gamma)$$

is defined and smooth with

$$d\Xi_*(\gamma;\gamma_1) = (d_2\Xi)_*(\gamma,\gamma_1). \tag{3.3.5}$$

Proof. (a) For each $x \in U$, we calculate

$$\Xi(x,\gamma(x)) - \Xi(x,\eta(x)) = \int_0^1 d_2\Xi(x, t\gamma(x) + (1-t)\eta(x); \gamma(x) - \eta(x))\, dt.$$

Hence for each $f \in \mathcal{W}$, we have

$$|f(x)|\, \|\Xi(x,\gamma(x)) - \Xi(x,\eta(x))\| \leq \|D_2\Xi\|_{1_{U\times V},0}|f(x)|\, \|\gamma(x) - \eta(x)\|.$$

From this estimate, we conclude that (3.3.1) holds.

(b) The identity for $D(\Xi \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \gamma))$ follows from the Chain Rule. For $x \in U$ and $h \in X$, we have

$$D_1\Xi(x,0) \cdot h = d_1\Xi(x,0;h) = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\Xi(x+th,0) - \Xi(x,0)}{t} = 0,$$

whence $D_1\Xi(x,0) = 0$. We then get the estimate by applying (3.3.2) to the first summand.

(c) We first prove by induction on k that Ξ_* is defined and continuous.

$k = 0$: We see with (3.3.2) that Ξ_* is defined since

$$\|\Xi \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \gamma)\|_{f,0} \le \|\Xi\|_{1_{U\times V},1}\|\gamma\|_{f,0} \le \|\gamma\|_{g,0}.$$

With a similar argument, we see using (3.3.1) that Ξ_* continuous since each $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^{\partial,0}_{\mathcal{W}}(U,V)$ has a convex neighborhood in $\mathcal{C}^{\partial,0}_{\mathcal{W}}(U,V)$.

$k \to k+1$: We use Lemma 2.2. So all that remains to show is that $D(\Xi \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \gamma)) \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U, \mathrm{L}(X,Z))$ and $\gamma \mapsto D(\Xi \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \gamma))$ is continuous. We proved in (b) that

$$D(\Xi \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \gamma)) = D_1\Xi \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \gamma) + \Xi^{(2)}_M \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \gamma, D\gamma),$$

see Lemma 3.2 for the definition of $\Xi^{(2)}_M$ (here, M denotes the composition of linear operators). We also proved in (b) that $D_1\Xi(U \times \{0\}) = \{0\}$, and obviously $\|D_1\Xi\|_{1_{U\times V},\ell} \le \|\Xi\|_{1_{U\times V},\ell+1}$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence we can use the inductive hypothesis to see that

$$\mathcal{C}^{\partial,k+1}_{\mathcal{W}}(U,V) \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U, \mathrm{L}(X,Z)) : \gamma \mapsto D_1\Xi \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \gamma)$$

is defined and continuous. We examine $\Xi^{(2)}_M$. To this end, let $R > 0$. We see using (3.2.1) that for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $f \in \mathcal{W}$,

$$\|\Xi^{(2)}_M\|_{1_{U\times V\times B_{\mathrm{L}(X,Y)}(0,R)},\ell}|f| \le \ell\|\Xi\|_{1_{U\times V},\ell}|f| + R\|\Xi\|_{1_{U\times V},\ell+1}|f| \le \ell|g_\ell| + R|g_{\ell+1}|.$$

Here, $g_\ell, g_{\ell+1} \in \mathcal{W}_{\max}$ exist by our assumptions. Hence in both cases, we can apply the inductive hypothesis to $\Xi^{(2)}_M$ and get (using Lemma 2.3 implicitly) that the map

$$\mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U,V) \times \mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U, B_{\mathrm{L}(X,Y)}(0,R)) \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U, \mathrm{L}(X,Z)) : (\gamma, \Gamma) \mapsto \Xi^{(2)}_M \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \gamma, \Gamma)$$

is defined and continuous. Hence for each $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^{\partial,k+1}_{\mathcal{W}}(U,V)$, the map

$$\{\eta \in \mathcal{C}^{\partial,k+1}_{\mathcal{W}}(U,V) : \|\eta\|_{1_U,1} < \|\gamma\|_{1_U,1} + 1\} \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U, \mathrm{L}(X,Z)) : \eta \mapsto \Xi^{(2)}_M \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \eta, D\eta)$$

is defined and continuous. Since $1_U \in \mathcal{W}$, the domain of this map is a neighborhood of γ. This finishes the proof.

We pass on to prove the smoothness of Ξ_*. To do this, we have to examine $d_2\Xi$. Obviously $d_2\Xi = \Xi^{(2)}_{\cdot}$, where $\cdot$ denotes the evaluation of linear operators. Hence we can use a similar argument as above when discussing $\Xi^{(2)}_M$ to see that

$$(d_2\Xi)_* : \mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U,V) \times \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Y) \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Z) : (\gamma, \gamma_1) \mapsto d_2\Xi \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \gamma, \gamma_1)$$

is defined and continuous. Now let $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U,V)$ and $\gamma_1 \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Y)$. Since $\mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U,V)$ is open, there exists an $r > 0$ such that $\{\gamma + s\gamma_1 : s \in B_{\mathbb{K}}(0,r)\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U,V)$. We calculate for $x \in U$ and $t \in B_{\mathbb{K}}(0,r) \setminus \{0\}$ (using Lemma 2.3 implicitly) that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Xi_*(\gamma + t\gamma_1)(x) - \Xi_*(\gamma)(x)}{t} &= \frac{\Xi(x, \gamma(x) + t\gamma_1(x)) - \Xi(x,\gamma(x))}{t} \\ &= \int_0^1 d_2\Xi(x, \gamma(x) + st\gamma_1(x); \gamma_1(x))\, ds \\ &= \int_0^1 (d_2\Xi)_*(\gamma + st\gamma_1, \gamma_1)(x)\, ds.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we can apply [Wal12, La. 3.2.13] to see that

$$\frac{\Xi_*(\gamma + t\gamma_1) - \Xi_*(\gamma)}{t} = \int_0^1 (d_2\Xi)_*(\gamma + st\gamma_1, \gamma_1)\, ds.$$

Using Lemma 2.5, we derive that Ξ_* is $\mathcal{C}^1$ and (3.3.5) holds.

We see with (3.2.1) (again, using that $d_2\Xi = \Xi^{(2)}_{\cdot}$) that (3.3.4) holds for $d_2\Xi$ on $U \times V \times B_R(0)$ for each $R > 0$. Since $1_U \in \mathcal{W}$, we have that $\mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U, V \times Y) = \bigcup_{R>0} \mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U, V \times B_R(0))$. So with an easy induction argument we conclude (using Lemma 2.3) from (3.3.5) that Ξ_* is $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence smooth. □

4 Weighted restricted products

We are ready to discuss restricted products of weighted function spaces. As suggested in the introduction, for the sake of clarity we first take a more general approach.

4.1 Restricted products for locally convex spaces with uniformly parameterized seminorms

Definition 4.1 (Restricted products)**.** Let I and J be nonempty sets, $(E_i)_{i\in I}$ be a family of locally convex spaces such that for each $i \in I$, there exists a family $(p_{i,j})_{j\in J}$ of seminorms on E_i that defines its topology. For each $j \in J$, we define the quasinorm

$$p_j : \prod_{i\in I} E_i \to [0,\infty] : (x_i)_{i\in I} \mapsto \sup_{i\in I} p_{i,j}(x_i).$$

With these, we define

$$\ell^\infty_J((E_i)_{i\in I}) := \{x \in \prod_{i\in I} E_i : (\forall j \in J)\, p_j(x) < \infty\}.$$

We shall use the same symbol, p_j, for the restriction of p_j to $\ell^\infty_J((E_i)_{i\in I})$. Endowed with the seminorms $\{p_j : j \in J\}$, the latter is a locally convex space. Note that the topology on $\ell^\infty_J((E_i)_{i\in I})$ is finer than the ordinary product topology, and strictly finer if $\{i \in I : E_i \neq \{0\}\}$ is infinite.

On Lipschitz continuous functions to a restricted product

Since the topology of $\ell^\infty_J((E_i)_{i\in I})$ generally is finer than the product topology, a map whose component maps are continuous is not necessarily continuous. But we can give a sufficient criterion for Lipschitz continuity. First, we give the following definition.

Definition 4.2. Let X, Y be locally convex spaces, $U \subseteq X$ open, $\phi : U \to Y$ and $p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)$, $q \in \mathcal{N}(X)$. Then we set

$$\mathrm{Lip}^p_q(\phi) := \inf\{L \in [0,\infty] : (\forall x, y \in U)\, \|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\|_p \leq L\|x - y\|_q\}.$$

If $\mathrm{Lip}^p_q(\phi) < \infty$, then $\|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\|_p \leq \mathrm{Lip}^p_q(\phi)\|x - y\|_q$ for all $x, y \in U$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let V be a nonempty subset of the locally convex space X. Let $A : V \to \ell^\infty_J((E_i)_{i\in I})$ be a map such that*

$$(\forall j \in J)(\exists p^j \in \mathcal{N}(X)) \sup_{i\in I} \mathrm{Lip}^{p_{i,j}}_{p^j}(\pi_i \circ A) < \infty,$$

where for $i \in I$, $\pi_i : \prod_{j\in I} E_j \to E_i$ denotes the canonical projection. Then A is continuous. In fact, $\mathrm{Lip}^{p_j}_{p^j}(A) \leq \sup_{i\in I} \mathrm{Lip}^{p_{i,j}}_{p^j}(\pi_i \circ A)$ for each $j \in J$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in V$ and $j \in J$. We have

$$\|A(x) - A(y)\|_{p_j} = \sup_{i\in I} \|\pi_i(A(x)) - \pi_i(A(y))\|_{p_{i,j}} \leq \sup_{i\in I} \mathrm{Lip}^{p_{i,j}}_{p^j}(\pi_i \circ A)\|x - y\|_{p^j}.$$

This finishes the proof. □

On the product of restricted products

We turn to the product $\ell^\infty_{J_E}((E_i)_{i\in I}) \times \ell^\infty_{J_F}((F_i)_{i\in I})$ of two restricted products. If the seminorms of both spaces are indexed over the same set, it is isomorphic to another restricted product. As a preparation, we make the following remark.

Remark 4.4. For the following, note that if the locally convex spaces E and F both have a generating family $(p^E_j)_{j\in J}$ and $(p^F_j)_{j\in J}$ of seminorms indexed over J, then there exists a generating family of seminorms for $E \times F$ that is indexed over J. For example, the family $(\max \circ (p^E_j \times p^F_j))_{j\in J}$ generates the product topology on $E \times F$.

Lemma 4.5. *The sets $\ell^\infty_J((E_i \times F_i)_{i\in I})$ and $\ell^\infty_J((E_i)_{i\in I}) \times \ell^\infty_J((F_i)_{i\in I})$ are isomorphic as topological vector spaces. The canonical isomorphism is the map*

$$\ell^\infty_J((E_i \times F_i)_{i\in I}) \to \ell^\infty_J((E_i)_{i\in I}) \times \ell^\infty_J((F_i)_{i\in I}) : (e_i, f_i)_{i\in I} \mapsto ((e_i)_{i\in I}, (f_i)_{i\in I}),$$

and

$$\ell^\infty_J((E_i)_{i\in I}) \times \ell^\infty_J((F_i)_{i\in I}) \to \ell^\infty_J((E_i \times F_i)_{i\in I}) : ((e_i)_{i\in I}, (f_i)_{i\in I}) \mapsto (e_i, f_i)_{i\in I}$$

its inverse.

Proof. We denote the maps defined above by A and B, respectively. Let $j \in J$ and $k \in I$. Then

$$p^E_{k,j}((\pi_k \circ \mathrm{pr}_1 \circ A)(e_i, f_i)_{i\in I}) = p^E_{k,j}(e_k) \leq \max(p^E_{k,j}(e_k), p^F_{k,j}(f_k)) \leq \max(p^E_j \times p^F_j)(e_i, f_i)_{i\in I},$$

independent of k. This shows that $\mathrm{pr}_1 \circ A$ takes values in $\ell^\infty_J((E_i)_{i\in I})$, and since it is linear, we can use Lemma 4.3 to see that it is continuous to this space. Since the same argument can be made for the second factor, we see that A is continuous.

On the other hand, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\max \circ (p^E_{k,j} \times p^F_{k,j})((\pi_k \circ B)((e_i)_{i\in I}, (f_i)_{i\in I})) &= \max(p^E_{k,j}(e_k), p^F_{k,j}(f_k)) \\ &\leq p^E_{k,j}(e_k) + p^F_{k,j}(f_k) \leq p^E_j(e_i)_{i\in I} + p^F_j(f_i)_{i\in I}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $p^E_j \circ \mathrm{pr}_1 + p^F_j \circ \mathrm{pr}_2$ is a continuous seminorm on $\ell^\infty_J((E_i)_{i\in I}) \times \ell^\infty_J((F_i)_{i\in I})$, this shows that B takes values in $\ell^\infty_J((E_i \times F_i)_{i\in I})$, and since it is linear, we can use Lemma 4.3 to see that it is continuous to this space. Now clearly $B = A^{-1}$. □

On differentiable functions into a restricted product

We give a criterion when a function into a restricted product whose component maps are $\mathcal{C}^1$ is differentiable itself. In order to do this, we give a sufficient condition for the completeness of a restricted product.

Completeness of a restricted product We prove that a restricted product is complete if all factors are so.

Lemma 4.6 (Completeness)**.** *Let I and J be nonempty sets, $(E_i)_{i\in I}$ be a family of locally convex spaces and $(p_{i,j})_{j\in J}$ a family of generating seminorms for E_i, for $i \in I$. Further assume that each E_i is complete. Then $\ell^\infty_J((E_i)_{i\in I})$ is complete.*

Proof. Let $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ be a Cauchy net in $\ell^\infty_J((E_i)_{i\in I})$. Then for each $i \in I$, obviously $(\pi_i(x_\alpha))_{\alpha\in A}$ is a Cauchy net in E_i, and since E_i is complete, it converges to some $x_i \in E_i$. We show that $(x_i)_{i\in I} \in \ell^\infty_J((E_i)_{i\in I})$ and that $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ converges to $(x_i)_{i\in I}$. To this end, let $j \in J$. Since $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ is a Cauchy net, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\ell \in A$ such that

$$(\forall \alpha, \beta \in A : \alpha, \beta \geq \ell) \sup_{i\in I} \|\pi_i(x_\alpha) - \pi_i(x_\beta)\|_{p_{i,j}} < \varepsilon.$$

We fix α in this estimate, and for each $i \in I$, we take $\pi_i(x_\beta)$ to its limit. Then we get that

$$(\forall \alpha \in A : \alpha \geq \ell) \sup_{i\in I} \|\pi_i(x_\alpha) - x_i\|_{p_{i,j}} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$\|(x_i)_{i\in I}\|_{p_j} \leq \|x_\ell\|_{p_j} + \|(x_i)_{i\in I} - x_\ell\|_{p_j} < \infty$$

and thus $(x_i)_{i\in I} \in \ell^\infty_J((E_i)_{i\in I})$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we also see that $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ converges to $(x_i)_{i\in I}$. □

Differentiability criterion The criterion we present is quite useful. The reason for this is that often, we can compute the differentials in terms of the map itself and some well-behaved operations.

Lemma 4.7. *Let U be an open nonempty subset of the locally convex space E, I and J nonempty sets, $(F_i)_{i\in I}$ a family of locally convex spaces whose topologies are generated by families of seminorms indexed over J. Let $f : U \to \ell^\infty_J((F_i)_{i\in I})$ be a map such that each component map $f_i : U \to F_i$ is $\mathcal{C}^1$ and the map*

$$(df_i)_{i\in I} : U \times E \to \ell^\infty_J((F_i)_{i\in I})$$

is defined and continuous. Then f is $\mathcal{C}^1$.

Proof. Let $x \in U$ and $h \in E$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that $x + B_{\mathbb{K}}(0,\varepsilon)h \subseteq U$. By our assumptions, the map

$$B_{\mathbb{K}}(0,\varepsilon) \times [0,1] \to \ell^\infty_J((F_i)_{i\in I}) : (t,s) \mapsto (df_i(x + sth; h))_{i\in I}$$

is continuous. Hence we see with Lemma 4.6 that for each $t \in B_{\mathbb{K}}(0,\varepsilon)$, $\int_0^1 (df_i(x + sth; h))_{i\in I}\, ds$ exists in $\ell^\infty_J((\widetilde{F}_i)_{i\in I})$, where $\widetilde{F}_i$ denotes the completion of F_i. Using the mean value theorem, we conclude that the integral exists in $\ell^\infty_J((F_i)_{i\in I})$ with the value $\frac{1}{t}(f(x+th) - f(x))$, if $t \neq 0$. Hence we see with the continuity of parameter-dependent integrals (Lemma 2.5) that f is $\mathcal{C}^1$ with $df(x;h) = (df_i(x;h))_{i\in I}$. □

On the product of multilinear maps

The last result about the general restricted products is about the continuity of a product of multilinear maps. It assures the continuity if the factors maps are kind of "uniformly bounded" for each generating seminorm of the restricted product.

Lemma 4.8 (Multilinear maps)**.** *Let I and J be nonempty sets, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $E_1, \ldots, E_m$ be locally convex spaces and $(F_i)_{i\in I}$ a family of locally convex spaces such that the topology of each F_i is generated by a family $(p_{i,j})_{j\in J}$ of seminorms. Further, for each $i \in I$ let $\beta_i : E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m \to F_i$ be an m-linear map such that*

$$\begin{aligned}(\forall j \in J)(\exists p_1 \in \mathcal{N}(E_1), \ldots, p_m \in \mathcal{N}(E_m), C > 0)\\ (\forall i \in I, x_1 \in E_1, \ldots, x_m \in E_m)\ \|\beta_i(x_1, \ldots, x_m)\|_{p_{i,j}} \leq C\|x_1\|_{p_1} \cdots \|x_m\|_{p_m}.\end{aligned} \tag{†}$$

Then the map

$$(\beta_i)_{i\in I} : E_1 \times \ldots \times E_m \to \ell^\infty_J((F_i)_{i\in I})$$

is defined, m-linear and continuous.

Proof. We conclude from (†) that for $j \in J$ and $x_1 \in E_1, \ldots, x_m \in E_m$,

$$\|(\beta_i(x_1, \ldots, x_m))_{i\in I}\|_{p_j} \leq C\|x_1\|_{p_1} \cdots \|x_m\|_{p_m}.$$

From this estimate, we conclude that $(\beta_i(x_1, \ldots, x_m))_{i\in I} \in \ell^\infty_J((F_i)_{i\in I})$. Further, since $(\beta_i)_{i\in I}$ is obviously m-linear, we see that it is continuous in 0 and hence continuous. □

4.2 Restricted products of weighted functions

We now turn our attention to special restricted products, where each factor is a weighted function space of the kind examined in [Wal12, Chpt. 3]. Since we know the topology of these spaces and plenty of operations on and between them very well, we are able to derive more results about them than in the general case. We give the definition and then adapt some previous results about the topological and uniform structure.

4.2.1 Definition, topological and uniform structure

Definition 4.9. Let I be a nonempty set, $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ a family such that each U_i is an open nonempty set of a normed space X_i, $(Y_i)_{i\in I}$ another family of normed spaces, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\biguplus_{i\in I} U_i}$ a nonempty family of weights defined on the disjoint union $\biguplus_{i\in I} U_i$ of $(U_i)_{i\in I}$, and $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$. For $i \in I$ and $f \in \mathcal{W}$, we set $f_i := f|_{U_i}$, and further $\mathcal{W}_i := \{f_i : f \in \mathcal{W}\}$. Then the topology of each space $\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}_i}(U_i, Y_i)$ is induced by a family of seminorms indexed over $\mathcal{W} \times \{\ell \in \mathbb{N} : \ell \leq k\}$; for $i \in I$, we map $f \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$ to $\|\cdot\|_{f_i,\ell}$. We define

$$\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I} := \ell^\infty_{\{\|\cdot\|_{f,\ell}:(f,\ell)\in\mathcal{W}\times\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\, n\leq k\}\}}((\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}_i}(U_i, Y_i))_{i\in I}).$$

The seminorms that generate the topology on this space are of the form

$$\|(\phi_i)_{i\in I}\|_{f,\ell} := \sup_{i\in I} \|\phi_i\|_{f_i,\ell},$$

where $f \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$.

Lemma 4.10. *$\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I}$ is endowed with the initial topology of the inclusion maps*

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I} \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I},$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I} = \varprojlim_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I}$.

Proof. This is clear from the fact that the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{f,\ell}$ with $f \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\ell \leq k$ define the topology on the right hand side, while those with $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ define the topology on the left. □

Proposition 4.11. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for $(\phi_i)_{i\in I} \in \prod_{i\in I} \mathcal{FC}^1(U_i, Y_i)$, we have*

$$(\phi_i)_{i\in I} \in \mathcal{C}^{k+1}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I} \iff (\phi_i)_{i\in I} \in \mathcal{C}^0_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I} \text{ and } (D\phi_i)_{i\in I} \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, \mathrm{L}(X_i, Y_i))_{i\in I}.$$

The map

$$\mathcal{C}^{k+1}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I} \to \mathcal{C}^0_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I} \times \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, \mathrm{L}(X_i, Y_i))_{i\in I} : ((\phi_i)_{i\in I}) \mapsto ((\phi_i)_{i\in I}, (D\phi_i)_{i\in I})$$

is linear and a topological embedding.

Proof. This is proved in the same way as Lemma 2.2. □

Lipschitz continuity This is an adaptation of Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 4.12. *Let V be an open nonempty subset of the locally convex space X. Let $A : V \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I}$ be a map such that*

$$(\forall f \in \mathcal{W}, \ell \in \mathbb{N} : \ell \le k)(\exists p \in \mathcal{N}(X)) \sup_{i\in I} \operatorname{Lip}_p^{f_i,\ell}(\pi_i \circ A) < \infty.$$

Then A is continuous. In fact, $\operatorname{Lip}_p^{f,\ell}(A) \le \sup_{i\in I} \operatorname{Lip}_p^{f_i,\ell}(\pi_i \circ A)$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.3. □

4.2.2 Adjusting weights and open subsets

Let I be an infinite set and $(r_i)_{i\in I}$ a family of positive real numbers such that $\inf_{i\in I} r_i = 0$. If $\mathcal{W}$ consists only of $1_{\cup_{i\in I} U_i}$, then the set $\prod_{i\in I} \mathcal{C}^0_{\mathcal{W}_i}(U_i, B_{Y_i}(0, r_i))$ is not a neighborhood of 0 in $\mathcal{C}^0_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I}$. But since we later need to discuss such sets, and in particular want functions that are defined on such sets to be differentiable (think of the Riemannian exponential function), we must know under which conditions on $\mathcal{W}$ their interior is not empty.

It turns out that if $\mathcal{W}$ contains a weight ω that is "large enough" on each U_i, then the set $\{(\phi_i)_{i\in I} \in \mathcal{C}^0_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I} : \|(\phi_i)_{i\in I}\|_{\omega,0} < 1\}$ is contained in $\prod_{i\in I} \mathcal{C}^0_{\mathcal{W}_i}(U_i, B_{Y_i}(0, r_i)) \cap \mathcal{C}^0_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I}$, so the latter is a neighborhood of 0. We will call ω adjusting to the family $(r_i)_{i\in I}$ since ω adjusts its smallness. We start with some definitions.

Definition 4.13. Let $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(r_i)_{i\in I}$ be families such that each U_i is an open nonempty set of the normed space X_i, and each $r_i \in]0, \infty]$. We say that $\omega : \cup_{i\in I} U_i \to \mathbb{R}$ is an *adjusting weight for* $(r_i)_{i\in I}$ if for each $i \in I$, we have that

$$\sup_{x\in U_i} |\omega_i(x)| < \infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \inf_{x\in U_i} |\omega_i(x)| \ge \max\big(\tfrac{1}{r_i}, 1\big).$$

Notice that generally, ω itself is *not* bounded.

Definition 4.14. Let $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(V_i)_{i\in I}$ be families such that each U_i is an open nonempty set of the normed space X_i and each V_i is an open nonempty subset of a normed space Y_i, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\cup_{i\in I} U_i}$ a nonempty set and $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$. Let $\omega : \cup_{i\in I} U_i \to \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \notin \omega(\cup_{i\in I} U_i)$. We set

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{C}^{\omega_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I}\\ &\quad := \{(\gamma_i)_{i\in I} \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I} : (\exists r > 0)(\forall i \in I, x \in U_i)\, \gamma_i(x) + B_{Y_i}(0, \tfrac{r}{|\omega(x)|}) \subseteq V_i\}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we define

$$\mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I} := \mathcal{C}^{(1_{\cup_{i\in I} U_i})_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I}.$$

Additionally, if each V_i is star-shaped with center 0, then ω is called an *adjusting weight for* $(V_i)_{i\in I}$ if it is an adjusting weight for $(\operatorname{dist}(\{0\}, \partial V_i))_{i\in I}$. If it is clear to which family ω adjusts, we may call ω just an adjusting weight.

Remark 4.15. Let $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(V_i)_{i\in I}$ be families such that all U_i and V_i are open nonempty subsets of the normed spaces X_i respectively Y_i, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\cup_{i\in I} U_i}$ a nonempty set, $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\omega : \cup_{i\in I} U_i \to \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \notin \omega(\cup_{i\in I} U_i)$ such that $\sup_{x\in U_i} |\omega_i(x)| < \infty$ for each $i \in I$. Then $\inf_{x\in U_i} \frac{1}{|\omega_i|(x)} > 0$, and hence

$$\mathcal{C}^{\omega_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I} \subseteq \prod_{i\in I} \mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i).$$

To show that $\prod_{i\in I} \mathcal{C}^0_{\mathcal{W}_i}(U_i, B_{Y_i}(0, r_i))$ contains a neighborhood of the constant 0 function, we estimate the $\|\cdot\|_{1_U,0}$ seminorm with the $\|\cdot\|_{f,0}$ seminorm.

Lemma 4.16. *Let X and Y be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $f : U \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $0 \notin f(U)$ and $\phi, \psi : U \to Y$.*

(a) For all $x \in U$, we have $\|\phi(x) - \psi(x)\| \leq \frac{\|\phi-\psi\|_{f,0}}{|f(x)|}$.

(b) Assume that $\inf_{x\in U} |f(x)| > 0$. Then $\|\phi - \psi\|_{1_U,0} \leq \frac{\|\phi-\psi\|_{f,0}}{\inf_{x\in U} |f(x)|}$.

(c) Suppose that $\inf_{x\in U} |f(x)| \geq \max(\frac{1}{d}, 1)$, where $d > 0$. Then

$$\|\phi - \psi\|_{1_U,0} \leq \min(d, 1)\|\phi - \psi\|_{f,0}. \tag{4.16.1}$$

Proof. (a) This follows from $|f(x)|\,\|\phi(x) - \psi(x)\| \leq \|\phi - \psi\|_{f,0}$.
(b) This is an easy consequence of (a).
(c) This follows from (b), where we use that $\frac{1}{\max(\frac{1}{d},1)} = \min(d, 1)$. □

Lemma 4.17. *Let $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(V_i)_{i\in I}$ be families such that each U_i is an open nonempty set of a normed space X_i and each V_i is an open nonempty subset of a normed space Y_i, $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, $f : \cup_{i\in I} U_i \to \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \notin f(\cup_{i\in I} U_i)$ and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\cup_{i\in I} U_i}$ with $f \in \mathcal{W}$.*

(a) $\mathcal{C}^{f_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I}$ is open in $\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I}$. In fact, it is even open in $\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I}$ when this space is endowed with the topology of $\mathcal{C}^0_{\{f\}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I}$.

(b) Assume that each V_i is star-shaped with center 0 and f is an adjusting weight for $(V_i)_{i\in I}$. Then $\mathcal{C}^{f_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I}$ is not empty. In particular, for $\tau > 0$ we have

$$\{\eta \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I} : \|\eta\|_{f,0} < \tau\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{f_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, \tau \cdot V_i)_{i\in I}. \tag{4.17.1}$$

Proof. (a) Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^{f_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I}$. Then there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$(\forall i \in I, x \in U_i)\, \gamma_i(x) + B_{Y_i}(0, \tfrac{r}{|f(x)|}) \subseteq V_i.$$

We show that

$$\{\eta \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I} : \|\eta - \gamma\|_{f,0} < r\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{f_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I}.$$

To this end, let η be an element of set on the left hand side and $s := r - \|\eta - \gamma\|_{f,0}$. Then for $i \in I$, $x \in U_i$ and $h \in B_{Y_i}(0, \frac{s}{|f(x)|})$, we have with Lemma 4.16 and the triangle inequality

$$\|\eta_i(x) - \gamma_i(x) + h\| < \frac{\|\gamma - \eta\|_{f,0}}{|f(x)|} + \frac{s}{|f(x)|} = \frac{r}{|f(x)|}.$$

Hence

$$\eta_i(x) + h = \gamma_i(x) + \eta_i(x) - \gamma_i(x) + h \in V_i.$$

This shows that $\eta \in \mathcal{C}^{f\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I}$.

(b) Let η be an element of the set on the left hand side of (4.17.1). We set $r := \tau - \|\eta\|_{f,0}$. Let $i \in I$, $x \in U_i$ and $h \in B_{Y_i}(0, \frac{r}{|f(x)|})$. Then we see with (4.16.1) that

$$\|\eta_i(x) + h\| \leq \|\eta_i(x)\| + \|h\| < \min(1, d_i)\|\eta\|_{f,0} + \min(1, d_i)(\tau - \|\eta\|_{f,0}),$$

where $d_i := \operatorname{dist}(\{0\}, \partial V_i)$. Hence $\|\eta_i(x) + h\| < \tau d_i$, so $\eta_i(x) + h \in \tau \cdot V_i$. This finishes the proof. □

Remark 4.18. Let $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ be a family such that each U_i is an open nonempty set of the normed space X_i. Further, let $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\cup_{i\in I} U_i}$ contain ω with $\inf_{x\in U}|\omega(x)| > 0$ (in particular, this holds if ω is an adjusting weight) and $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$. Then for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$, we see with Lemma 4.16 that the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{1_{\cup_{i\in I} U_i},\ell}$ is continuous on $\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I}$. In particular, $\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I} = \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}\cup\{1_{\cup_{i\in I} U_i}\}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I}$.

4.3 Simultaneous superposition and multiplication

In this subsection, we discuss operations between restricted products of weighted functions that consist of operations that are defined on a single factor. The most common operation is the superposition with a family $(\phi_i)_{i\in I}$ of maps of certain characteristics, i.e. linear, analytic etc. In contrast to results derived in [Wal12], we often have to take a more quantitative approach, and tailor our assumptions about the permitted weights to $(\phi_i)_{i\in I}$.

4.3.1 Simultaneous multiplication

We begin with simultaneous multiplication. It is pretty straightforward, and (4.19.1) provides a good example of the assumptions on the weights that will be made in the following.

Lemma 4.19. *Let $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ be a family such that each U_i is an open nonempty set of the normed space X_i, and $(Y^1_i)_{i\in I}$, $(Y^2_i)_{i\in I}$, $(Z_i)_{i\in I}$ be families of normed spaces. Further, for each $i \in I$ let $M_i : U_i \to Y^1_i$ be smooth, and $\beta_i : Y^1_i \times Y^2_i \to Z_i$ a bilinear map such that*

$$\sup\{\|\beta_i\|_{op} : i \in I\} < \infty.$$

Assume that $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\cup_{i\in I} U_i}$ is nonempty and

$$(\forall f \in \mathcal{W}, \ell \in \mathbb{N})(\exists g \in \mathcal{W}_{\max})\,(\forall i \in I)\,\|M_i\|_{1_{U_i},\ell}|f_i| \leq |g_i|. \tag{4.19.1}$$

Then for $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, the map

$$\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i^2)_{i\in I} \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Z_i)_{i\in I} : (\gamma_i)_{i\in I} \mapsto (\beta_i \circ (M_i, \gamma_i))_{i\in I}$$

is defined and continuous linear.

Proof. We prove this by induction on k.

$k = 0$: We calculate for $i \in I$, $x \in U_i$, $(\gamma_i)_{i\in I} \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i^2)_{i\in I}$ and $f \in \mathcal{W}$ that

$$|f_i(x)|\,\|(\beta_i \circ (M_i, \gamma_i))(x)\| \le \|\beta_i\|_{op}\,|f_i(x)|\,\|M_i(x)\|\,\|\gamma_i(x)\| \le \|\beta_i\|_{op}\,\|\gamma_i\|_{g_i,0}.$$

Hence

$$\|(\beta_i \circ (M_i, \gamma_i))_{i\in I}\|_{f,0} \le \sup_{i\in I}\|\beta_i\|_{op}\,\|(\gamma_i)_{i\in I}\|_{g,0},$$

which shows the assertion.

$k \to k+1$: Using the induction base and Proposition 4.11, all we have to show is that for $(\gamma_i)_{i\in I} \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i^2)_{i\in I}$, we have $(D(b_i \circ (M_i, \gamma_i)))_{i\in I} \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, \mathrm{L}(X_i, Z_i))_{i\in I}$ and that the map

$$\mathcal{C}^{k+1}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i^2)_{i\in I} \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, \mathrm{L}(X_i, Z_i))_{i\in I} : (\gamma_i)_{i\in I} \mapsto (D(b_i \circ (M_i, \gamma_i)))_{i\in I}$$

is continuous. By [Wal12, La 3.3.2], for each $i \in I$ we have

$$D(\beta_i \circ (M_i, \gamma_i)) = \beta_i^{(1)} \circ (DM_i, \gamma) + \beta_i^{(2)} \circ (M_i, D\gamma_i)$$

(using notation as in [Wal12, Def 3.3.1]). Hence

$$(D(\beta_i \circ (M_i, \gamma_i)))_{i\in I} = (\beta_i^{(1)} \circ (DM_i, \gamma))_{i\in I} + (\beta_i^{(2)} \circ (M_i, D\gamma_i))_{i\in I},$$

and we easily calculate that $\|\beta_i^{(1)}\|_{op}, \|\beta_i^{(2)}\|_{op} \le \|\beta_i\|_{op}$ for each $i \in I$. Since $\mathcal{W}$ and $(DM_i)_{i\in I}$ satisfy (4.19.1), we can apply the inductive hypothesis to both summands and finish the proof. □

4.3.2 Simultaneous superposition with multilinear maps

Here, we examine the superpositions with multilinear maps that are uniformly bounded. It is very similar to [Wal12, Prop 3.3.3], but also involves a result for the more general restricted products defined above.

Lemma 4.20. *Let I be a nonempty set, $(X_i)_{i\in I}$, $(X_{i,k})_{(i,k)\in I\times\{1,\dots,n\}}$ and $(Y_i)_{i\in I}$ families of normed spaces, and $U_i \subseteq X_i$ an open nonempty subset for each $i \in I$. Let $\mathcal{W}_1, \dots, \mathcal{W}_n, \mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\bigcup_{i\in I} U_i}$ be nonempty sets such that*

$$(\forall f \in \mathcal{W})(\exists g^{f,1} \in \mathcal{W}_1, \dots, g^{f,n} \in \mathcal{W}_n)(\forall i \in I)\,|f_i| \le |g_i^{f,1}| \cdots |g_i^{f,n}|.$$

Further, for each $i \in I$, let $\beta_i : X_{i,1} \times \cdots \times X_{i,n} \to Y_i$ be a continuous n-linear map such that the set

$$\{\|\beta_i\|_{op} : i \in I\}$$

is bounded. Then the map

$$\begin{aligned}\beta : \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}_1}(U_i, X_{i,1})_{i\in I} \times \cdots \times \ \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}_n}(U_i, X_{i,n})_{i\in I} &\to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I}\\ (\gamma_{i,1}, \ldots, \gamma_{i,n})_{i\in I} &\mapsto (\beta_i \circ (\gamma_{i,1}, \ldots, \gamma_{i,n}))_{i\in I}\end{aligned}$$

is defined, n-linear and continuous.

Proof. Using [Wal12, Prop 3.3.3], we have for each $i \in I$ and $\gamma_{i,1} \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, X_{i,1})$, ..., $\gamma_{i,n} \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, X_{i,n})$ that $\beta_i \circ (\gamma_{i,1}, \ldots, \gamma_{i,n}) \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)$. Further, β is n-linear as map to $\prod_{i\in I} \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)$. We prove by induction on k that β takes values in $\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I}$ and is continuous.

$k = 0$: We compute for all $i \in I$, $f \in \mathcal{W}_i$ and $\gamma_{i,1} \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}_1}(U_i, X_{i,1})$, ..., $\gamma_{i,n} \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}_n}(U_i, X_{i,n})$ that

$$\|\beta_i \circ (\gamma_{i,1}, \ldots, \gamma_{i,n})\|_{f,0} \leq \|\beta_i\|_{op} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \|\gamma_{i,j}\|_{g_i^{f,j},0}.$$

Since i was arbitrary, we can apply Lemma 4.8 to derive the assertion.

$k \to k+1$: Using the induction base and Proposition 4.11, all we have to show is that for $(\gamma_{i,1})_{i\in I} \in \mathcal{C}^{k+1}_{\mathcal{W}_1}(U_i, X_{i,1})_{i\in I}$, ..., $(\gamma_{i,n})_{i\in I} \in \mathcal{C}^{k+1}_{\mathcal{W}_n}(U_i, X_{i,n})_{i\in I}$,

$$(D(\beta_i \circ (\gamma_{i,1}, \ldots, \gamma_{i,n})))_{i\in I} \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, \mathrm{L}(X_i, Y_i))_{i\in I},$$

and that the map

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}^{k+1}_{\mathcal{W}_1}(U_i, X_{i,1})_{i\in I} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{C}^{k+1}_{\mathcal{W}_n}(U_i, X_{i,n})_{i\in I} &\to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, \mathrm{L}(X_i, Y_i))_{i\in I}\\ (\gamma_{i,1}, \ldots, \gamma_{i,n})_{i\in I} &\mapsto (D(\beta_i \circ (\gamma_{i,1}, \ldots, \gamma_{i,n})))_{i\in I}\end{aligned}$$

is continuous. By [Wal12, La 3.3.2], for each $i \in I$ we have

$$D(\beta_i \circ (\gamma_{i,1}, \ldots, \gamma_{i,n})) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_i^{(j)} \circ (\gamma_{i,1}, \ldots, D\gamma_{i,j}, \ldots, \gamma_{i,n})$$

(using notation as in [Wal12, Def 3.3.1]) and hence

$$(D(\beta_i \circ (\gamma_{i,1}, \ldots, \gamma_{i,n})))_{i\in I} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\beta_i^{(j)} \circ (\gamma_{i,1}, \ldots, D\gamma_{i,j}, \ldots, \gamma_{i,n}))_{i\in I}.$$

Since we easily calculate that $\|\beta_i^{(j)}\|_{op} \leq \|\beta_i\|_{op}$ for each $i \in I$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we can apply the inductive hypothesis to each summand and get the assertion. □

4.3.3 Simultaneous superposition with differentiable maps

We provide the simultaneous analogue of Proposition 3.3. In the proof, we have to use notation introduced in Lemma 3.2, as we did in the proof of 3.3. Similarly, the technically most challenging part will be the examination of the superposition with $((\beta_i)^{(2)}_{M_i})_{i\in I}$. Another novelty is the use of adjusting weights.

Proposition 4.21. *Let $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(V_i)_{i\in I}$ be families such that each U_i is an open nonempty set of the normed space X_i and each V_i is an open, star-shaped subset with center 0 of a normed space Y_i. Further, let $(Z_i)_{i\in I}$ be another family of normed spaces and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\bigcup_{i\in I} U_i}$ contain an adjusting weight ω. For each $i \in I$, let $\beta_i \in \mathcal{FC}^\infty(U_i \times V_i, Z_i)$ be a map such that $\beta_i(U_i \times \{0\}) = \{0\}$. Further, assume that*

$$(\forall f \in \mathcal{W}, \ell \in \mathbb{N}^*)(\exists g \in \mathcal{W}_{\max})\,(\forall i \in I)\,\|\beta_i\|_{1_{U_i\times V_i},\ell}|f_i| \le |g_i| \tag{4.21.1}$$

is satisfied. Then for $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, the map

$$\beta_* := \prod_{i\in I}(\beta_i)_* : \mathcal{C}^{\omega_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I} \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Z_i)_{i\in I} : (\gamma_i)_{i\in I} \mapsto (\beta_i \circ (\mathrm{id}_{U_i}, \gamma_i))_{i\in I}$$

is defined and smooth.

Proof. We see with Proposition 3.3 (and Remark 4.15) that β_* is defined as a map to $\prod_{i\in I}\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Z_i)$. We first prove by induction on k that β_* takes its values in $\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Z_i)_{i\in I}$ and is continuous.

$k = 0$: Let $f \in \mathcal{W}$. Using (3.3.2), we see that for $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^{\omega_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I}$ and $i \in I$

$$\|\beta_i \circ (\mathrm{id}_{U_i}, \gamma_i)\|_{f_i,0} \le \|D_2\beta_i\|_{1_{U_i\times V_i},0}\|\gamma_i\|_{f_i,0}.$$

Since $\|D_2\beta_i\|_{1_{U_i\times V_i},0} \le \|\beta_i\|_{1_{U_i\times V_i},1}$, there exists $g \in \mathcal{W}_{\max}$ such that

$$\|(\beta_i \circ (\mathrm{id}_{U_i}, \gamma_i))_{i\in I}\|_{f_i,0} \le \|\gamma\|_{g_i,0}.$$

Hence

$$(\beta_i \circ (\mathrm{id}_{U_i}, \gamma_i))_{i\in I} \in \mathcal{C}^0_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Z_i)_{i\in I}.$$

With the same reasoning, we see with (3.3.1) that for $\eta \in \mathcal{C}^{\omega_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I}$ in some neighborhood of γ,

$$\|(\beta_i \circ (\mathrm{id}_{U_i}, \gamma_i) - \beta_i \circ (\mathrm{id}_{U_i}, \eta_i))_{i\in I}\|_{f,0} \le \|\gamma - \eta\|_{g,0}.$$

So by Lemma 4.12, β_* is locally Lipschitz continuous and hence continuous.

$k \to k + 1$: We use Proposition 4.11. For $(\gamma_i)_{i\in I} \in \mathcal{C}^{\omega_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I}$, we have by Proposition 3.3 using notation from Lemma 3.2

$$(D(\beta_i \circ (\mathrm{id}_{U_i}, \gamma_i)))_{i\in I} = (D_1\beta_i \circ (\mathrm{id}_{U_i}, \gamma_i))_{i\in I} + ((\beta_i)^{(2)}_{M_i} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{U_i}, \gamma_i, D\gamma_i))_{i\in I}.$$

(Here, M_i denotes the composition of linear operators). For $i \in I$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\|D_1\beta_i\|_{1_{U_i\times V_i},\ell} \le \|\beta_i\|_{1_{U_i\times V_i},\ell+1},$$

and from (3.2.1) we get that

$$\|(\beta_i)^{(2)}_{M_i}\|_{1_{U_i\times V_i\times B_{\mathrm{L}(X_i,Y_i)}(0,R)},\ell} \le \ell\|\beta_i\|_{1_{U_i\times V_i},\ell} + R\|\beta_i\|_{1_{U_i\times V_i},\ell+1}$$

for each $R > 0$. Hence we can apply the inductive hypothesis to see that the maps

$$\mathcal{C}^{\omega_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I} \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, \mathrm{L}(X_i, Z_i))_{i\in I} : (\gamma_i)_{i\in I} \mapsto (D_1\beta_i \circ (\mathrm{id}_{U_i}, \gamma_i))_{i\in I}$$

and for $R \geq 1$

$$\mathcal{C}^{\omega_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i \times B_{\mathrm{L}(X_i,Y_i)}(0,R))_{i\in I} \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, \mathrm{L}(X_i,Z_i))_{i\in I} : (\gamma_i)_{i\in I} \mapsto ((\beta_i)^{(2)}_M \circ (\mathrm{id}_{U_i}, \gamma_i))_{i\in I}$$

are continuous; here we used that ω is an adjusting weight for $(V_i \times B_{\mathrm{L}(X_i,Y_i)}(0,R))_{i\in I}$ when the product is endowed with the maximum norm of the factor products (and also for $(B_{\mathrm{L}(X_i,Y_i)}(0,R))_{i\in I}$) if $R \geq 1$. From the continuity of the latter map, we deduce using Lemma 2.3, Lemma 4.20 and Lemma 4.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}^{\omega_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I} \times \mathcal{C}^{\omega_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, B_{\mathrm{L}(X_i,Y_i)}(0,R))_{i\in I} &\to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, \mathrm{L}(X_i,Z_i))_{i\in I}\\ ((\gamma_i)_{i\in I}, (\Gamma_i)_{i\in I}) &\mapsto ((\beta_i)^{(2)}_M \circ (\mathrm{id}_{U_i}, \gamma_i, \Gamma_i))_{i\in I}\end{aligned}$$

is continuous. Hence for each $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^{\omega_\partial,k+1}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I}$, the map

$$\begin{aligned}\{\eta \in \mathcal{C}^{\omega_\partial,k+1}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I} : \|\eta\|_{1_{\cup_{i\in I}U_i},1} < \|\gamma\|_{1_{\cup_{i\in I}U_i},1} + 1\} &\to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, \mathrm{L}(X_i,Z_i))_{i\in I}\\ (\eta_i)_{i\in I} &\mapsto (\beta_i)^{(2)}_M \circ (\mathrm{id}_U, \eta_i, D\eta_i)\end{aligned}$$

is defined and continuous. In view of Remark 4.18, the domain of this map is a neighborhood of γ. This finishes the inductive proof.

The case $k = \infty$ follows from the case $k < \infty$ by means of Lemma 4.10.

Now we prove that β_* is smooth. More exactly, we show by induction on $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$ that it is $\mathcal{C}^\ell$.

$\ell = 1$: By Proposition 3.3, for any $i \in I$ the map

$$(\beta_i)_* : \mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}_i}(U_i, V_i) \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}_i}(U_i, Z_i) : \gamma \mapsto \beta_i \circ (\mathrm{id}_{U_i}, \gamma)$$

is $\mathcal{C}^1$. We noted in (3.3.5) that its differential is given by

$$d(\beta_i)_*(\gamma;\eta) = (d_2\beta_i)_*(\gamma,\eta).$$

Obviously $d_2\beta_i = (\beta_i)^{(2)}_\cdot$, where $\cdot$ denotes the evaluation of linear operators. We see with the same reasoning as above that the map

$$\mathcal{C}^{\omega_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I} \times \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Y_i)_{i\in I} \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Z_i)_{i\in I} : (\gamma,\eta) \mapsto ((\beta_i)^{(2)}_\cdot)_*(\gamma_i, \eta_i))_{i\in I}$$

is defined and continuous. Hence we can apply Lemma 4.7 to see that β_* is $\mathcal{C}^1$ with $d\beta_* = \prod_{i\in I}(d_2\beta_i)_*$.

$\ell \to \ell + 1$: We see with the inductive hypothesis that $\prod_{i\in I}(d_2\beta_i)_*$ is $\mathcal{C}^\ell$, and since $d\beta_* = \prod_{i\in I}(d_2\beta_i)_*$, we deduce that β_* is $\mathcal{C}^{\ell+1}$. □

For technical reasons, we show that for a family $(\phi_i)_{i\in I}$ of smooth maps for which (4.19.1) is satisfied for their Fréchet differentials $(D\phi_i)_{i\in I}$, the family of their ordinary differentials $(d\phi_i)_{i\in I}$ satisfies (4.21.1), at least on bounded subsets.

Lemma 4.22. *Let $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ be a family such that each U_i is an open nonempty set of a normed space X_i and $(Y_i)_{i\in I}$ a family of normed spaces. Further, for each $i \in I$ let $\beta_i : U_i \to Y_i$ be a smooth map and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\cup_{i\in I}U_i}$ such that (4.19.1) is satisfied for $(D\beta_i)_{i\in I}$. Then for each $R > 0$, $(d\beta_i|_{U_i\times B_{X_i}(0,R)})_{i\in I}$ satisfies (4.21.1).*

Proof. Let $i \in I$. Then we derive from (3.1.1) that for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $x \in U_i$ and $h \in X_i$,

$$\|D^{(\ell)} d\beta_i(x,h)\|_{op} \leq \ell \|D^{(\ell-1)} D\beta_i(x)\|_{op} + \|h\| \, \|D^{(\ell)} D\beta_i(x)\|_{op}.$$

Hence

$$\|d\beta_i\|_{1_{U_i \times B_{X_i}(0,R)},\ell} \leq \ell \|D\beta_i\|_{1_{U_i},\ell-1} + R\|D\beta_i\|_{1_{U_i},\ell},$$

and from this estimate we easily derive that (4.21.1) is satisfied when (4.19.1) is. □

Simultaneous superposition with uniformly bounded maps As a corollary, we prove a superposition result that is more in the style of [Wal12, Prop. 3.3.12]; we examine functions that are not necessarily defined on a product and assume that the norms of the derivatives are uniformly bounded. First, we state an obvious fact.

Lemma 4.23. *Let $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(V_i)_{i\in I}$ be families such that each U_i is an open nonempty subset of the normed space X_i and each V_i is an open nonempty subset of a normed space Y_i. Further, let $(Z_i)_{i\in I}$ be another family of normed spaces and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\cup_{i\in I} U_i}$ nonempty. For each $i \in I$, let $\beta_i \in \mathcal{FC}^\infty(U_i \times V_i, Z_i)$ be a map such that for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$,*

$$K_\ell := \sup_{i\in I} \{\|\beta_i\|_{1_{U_i\times V_i},\ell}\} < \infty.$$

Then (4.21.1) *is satisfied.*

Proof. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$. For $f \in \mathcal{W}$ and $i \in I$, we have that

$$\|\beta_i\|_{1_{U_i\times V_i},\ell} |f_i| \leq K_\ell |f_i|.$$

Since $K_\ell f \in \mathcal{W}_{\max}$, the assertion is proved. □

We now prove the result. The main difficulty is that in order to use Proposition 4.21, we have to adapt its results for functions that are not necessarily defined on a product.

Corollary 4.24. *Let $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(V_i)_{i\in I}$ be families such that each U_i is an open nonempty subset of the normed space X_i and each V_i is an open subset of a normed space Y_i that is star-shaped with center 0. Further, let $(Z_i)_{i\in I}$ be another family of normed spaces and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\cup_{i\in I} U_i}$ contain an adjusting weight ω. For each $i \in I$, let $\beta_i \in \mathcal{FC}^\infty(V_i, Z_i)$ be a map such that $\beta_i(0) = 0$. Further, assume that for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the set*

$$\{\|\beta_i\|_{1_{V_i},\ell} : i \in I\}$$

is bounded. Then for $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, the map

$$\mathcal{C}^{\omega_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I} \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Z_i)_{i\in I} : (\gamma_i)_{i\in I} \mapsto (\beta_i \circ \gamma_i)_{i\in I}$$

is defined and smooth.

Proof. For each $i \in I$, we define $\widetilde{\beta}_i : U_i \times V_i \to Z_i : (x,y) \mapsto \beta_i(y)$. We can calculate that $D^{(\ell)}\widetilde{\beta}_i = \mathrm{pr}_2^* \circ (D^{(\ell)}\beta_i \circ \mathrm{pr}_2)$ (and did so in [Wal12, La. A.1.17]), where $\mathrm{pr}_2 : X_i \times Y_i \to Y_i$ denotes the projection onto the second component. So $\|\widetilde{\beta}_i\|_{1_{U_i\times V_i},\ell} \leq \|\beta_i\|_{1_{V_i},\ell}$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Further $\widetilde{\beta}_i \circ (\mathrm{id}_{U_i}, \gamma_i) = \beta_i \circ \gamma_i$ for each map $\gamma_i : U_i \to V_i$, and $\widetilde{\beta}_i(U_i \times \{0\}) = \{0\}$. Hence we derive the assertion from Proposition 4.21 and Lemma 4.23. □

Simultaneous superposition with analytic maps We prove a result concerning the superposition with analytic maps. As in Corollary 4.24, the results derived here are in the style of [Wal12, Prop. 3.3.19].

We start with simultaneous "good" complexifications.

Lemma 4.25. *Let $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(V_i)_{i\in I}$ be families such that each U_i is an open nonempty set of the normed space X_i, each V_i is an open set of a real normed space Y_i and $(\widetilde{V}_i)_{i\in I}$ a family such that for each $i \in I$, $\widetilde{V}_i$ is an open neighborhood of $\iota_i(V_i)$ in $(Y_i)_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $\iota_i : Y_i \to (Y_i)_{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the canonical inclusion. Assume that*

$$(\forall i \in I, M \subseteq V_i)\ \operatorname{dist}(M, Y_i \setminus V_i) \leq \operatorname{dist}(\iota_i(M), (Y_i)_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \widetilde{V}_i). \tag{4.25.1}$$

Then

$$\prod_{i\in I}(\iota_i)_*(\mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, \widetilde{V}_i)_{i\in I}$$

for each $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\cup_{i\in I}U_i}$ containing $1_{\cup_{i\in I}U_i}$.

Proof. Note that $\prod_{i\in I}(\iota_i)_*$ is defined by Lemma 4.20. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I}$. By definition, there exists $r > 0$ such that $\gamma_i(U_i) + B_{Y_i}(0, r) \subseteq V_i$ for all $i \in I$; in particular, $\operatorname{dist}(\gamma_i(U_i), Y_i \setminus V_i) \geq r$. By (4.25.1), $\operatorname{dist}(\iota_i(\gamma_i(U_i)), (Y_i)_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \widetilde{V}_i) \geq r$ and hence $(\iota_i \circ \gamma_i)(U_i) + B_{(Y_i)_{\mathbb{C}}}(0, r) \subseteq \widetilde{V}_i$ for each $i \in I$. Thus

$$\prod_{i\in I}(\iota_i)_*(\gamma) = (\iota_i \circ \gamma_i)_{i\in I} \in \mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, \widetilde{V}_i)_{i\in I},$$

which finishes the proof. □

We now prove the result. We assume that the domains of the superposition maps do not become arbitrarily small, and that they are uniformly bounded on subsets that have a uniform distance from the domain boundary. This, together with the Cauchy estimates, will enable us to use Proposition 4.21. We need two results from [Wal13] that were used in [Wal12], but not explicitely stated. La. 3.3.13 is a (revised) version of the approximation technique used in the proof of [Wal12, La. 3.3.13], and estimate (3.3.15.1) was used in the proof of [Wal12, La. 3.3.14].

Corollary 4.26. *Let $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(V_i)_{i\in I}$ be families such that each U_i is an open nonempty subset of a normed space X_i, each V_i is an open subset of a normed space Y_i that is star-shaped with center 0 such that $\inf_{i\in I} \operatorname{dist}(\{0\}, \partial V_i) > 0$. Further, let $(Z_i)_{i\in I}$ be another family of normed spaces and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\cup_{i\in I}U_i}$ with $1_{\cup_{i\in I}U_i} \in \mathcal{W}$. For each $i \in I$, let $\beta_i : V_i \to Z_i$ be a map with $\beta_i(0) = 0$. Further, assume that either all β_i are complex analytic with*

$$\big(\forall (W_i)_{i\in I} : W_i \subseteq V_i \text{ open and bounded}, \inf_{i\in I} \operatorname{dist}(W_i, \partial V_i) > 0\big)\ \sup_{i\in I} \|\beta_i\|_{1_{W_i},0} < \infty; \tag{4.26.1}$$

or that any β_i is real analytic and has a complexification

$$\widetilde{\beta}_i : \widetilde{V}_i \subseteq (Y_i)_{\mathbb{C}} \to (Z_i)_{\mathbb{C}}$$

such that (4.26.1) *is satisfied and whose domains $\widetilde{V}_i$ are star-shaped with center* 0 *and satisfy* (4.25.1)*. Then for $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, the map*

$$\beta_* : \mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I} \to \mathcal{C}^{k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, Z_i)_{i\in I} : (\gamma_i)_{i\in I} \mapsto ((\beta_i)_*(\gamma_i))_{i\in I} = (\beta_i \circ \gamma_i)_{i\in I}$$

is defined and analytic.

Proof. We first assume that all β_i are complex analytic. Let $r \in]0, d[$, where $d := \inf_{i\in I} \operatorname{dist}(\{0\}, \partial V_i)$. We use [Wal13, La. 3.3.13] to see that there exists a family $(V_i^{\partial,r})_{i\in I}$ such that each $V_i^{\partial,r}$ is open, bounded and star-shaped with center 0; and furthermore $\inf_{i\in I} \operatorname{dist}(V_i^{\partial,r}, \partial V_i) \geq \frac{d-r}{2} \min(1, r^2)$ and $\bigcup_{r<d} V_i^{\partial,r} = V_i$ for each $i \in I$. Hence we see with the Cauchy estimates [Wal13, (3.3.15.1)] that for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\widetilde{r} < \frac{d-r}{2} \min(1, r^2)$ such that

$$\|\beta_i\|_{1_{V_i^{\partial,r},\ell}} \leq \frac{(2\ell)^\ell}{(\widetilde{r})^\ell} \|\beta_i\|_{1_{V_i^{\partial,r}+\overline{B}_{Y_i}(0,\widetilde{r})},0}$$

for all $i \in I$. Using (4.26.1), we conclude from this that

$$\{\|\beta_i\|_{1_{V_i^{\partial,r},\ell}} : i \in I\}$$

is bounded, so we use Corollary 4.24 to see that β_* is defined and smooth (and hence analytic) on $\mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i^{\partial,r})_{i\in I}$. Since these sets are open in $\mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I}$ and

$$\mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I} = \bigcup_{r\in]0,d[} \mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i^{\partial,r})_{i\in I},$$

we derive the assertion.

Now assume that all β_i are real analytic. We derive from the first part of the proof that $\widetilde{\beta}_* = \prod_i (\widetilde{\beta}_i)_*$ is defined and analytic. Obviously β_* coincides with the restriction of $\widetilde{\beta}_*$ to $\prod_{i\in I}(\iota_i)_*(\mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, V_i)_{i\in I})$ (which is contained in the domain of $\widetilde{\beta}_*$ by Lemma 4.25), hence β_* is real analytic. □

We provide an application.

Lemma 4.27. *Let $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ be a family such that each U_i is an open nonempty subset of the normed space X_i, $(Y_i)_{i\in I}$ a family of Banach spaces, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\cup_{i\in I} U_i}$ with $1_{\cup_{i\in I} U_i} \in \mathcal{W}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the map*

$$\mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, B_{\mathrm{L}(Y_i)}(0,1))_{i\in I} \to \mathcal{C}^{k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, \mathrm{L}(Y_i))_{i\in I} : \gamma \mapsto (QI_{\mathrm{L}(Y_i)} \circ \gamma_i)_{i\in I}$$

is defined and analytic.

Proof. This is simply an application of Corollary 4.26 since each $QI_{\mathrm{L}(Y_i)}|_{B_{\mathrm{L}(Y_i)}(0,1)}$ can be written as a (the same) power series, and hence satisfies (4.26.1). □

4.4 Simultaneous composition and inversion

We examine the simultaneous application of the composition and inversion operations, respectively, that we stated in Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.7.

Simultaneous composition We start with composition. Note that we need the adjusting weight ω to ensure that $\mathcal{C}^{\omega_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i,V_i)_{i\in I}$ is open and not empty.

Proposition 4.28. *Let $(U_i)_{i\in I}$, $(V_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(W_i)_{i\in I}$ be families such that for each $i\in I$, U_i, V_i and W_i are open nonempty sets of the normed space X_i with $U_i+V_i\subseteq W_i$, and V_i is balanced. Further, let $(Y_i)_{i\in I}$ be another family of normed spaces and $\mathcal{W}\subseteq\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\cup_{i\in I}W_i}$ contain an adjusting weight ω for $(V_i)_{i\in I}$. Then for $k,\ell\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}$, the map*

$$\mathfrak{c}^{Y,k}_{\mathcal{W},\ell}:=\prod_{i\in I}\mathfrak{c}^{Y_i,k}_{\mathcal{W}_i,\ell}:\begin{cases}\mathcal{C}^{k+\ell+1}_{\mathcal{W}}(W_i,Y_i)_{i\in I}\times\mathcal{C}^{\omega_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i,V_i)_{i\in I}\to\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i,Y_i)_{i\in I}\\ \qquad\qquad((\gamma_i)_{i\in I},(\eta_i)_{i\in I})\mapsto(\gamma_i\circ(\eta_i+\mathrm{id}_{U_i}))_{i\in I}\end{cases}$$

is defined and $\mathcal{C}^\ell$.

Proof. We see with Proposition 2.6 (and Remark 4.15) that $\mathfrak{c}^{Y,k}_{\mathcal{W},\ell}$ is defined as a map to $\prod_{i\in I}\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i,Y_i)$. We first prove by induction on k that $\mathfrak{c}^{Y,k}_{\mathcal{W},0}$ takes its values in $\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i,Y_i)_{i\in I}$ and is continuous.

$k=0$: We see with estimate (2.6.2) that for $f\in\mathcal{W}$, $\gamma\in\mathcal{C}^1_{\mathcal{W}}(W_i,Y_i)_{i\in I}$ and $\eta\in\mathcal{C}^{\omega_\partial,0}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i,V_i)_{i\in I}$

$$\|\mathfrak{c}^{Y_i,0}_{\mathcal{W}_i,0}(\gamma_i,\eta_i)\|_{f_i,0}\le\|\gamma_i\|_{1_{U_i},1}\|\eta_i\|_{f_i,0}+\|\gamma_i\|_{f_i,0}$$

for each $i\in I$. So $\mathfrak{c}^{Y,0}_{\mathcal{W},0}$ is defined, taking Remark 4.18 into account. Further, we see with the same reasoning – applied to estimate (2.6.3) – and Lemma 4.12 that $\mathfrak{c}^{Y,0}_{\mathcal{W},0}$ is locally Lipschitz continuous and hence continuous.

$k\to k+1$: We use Proposition 4.11. For $\gamma\in\mathcal{C}^{k+2}_{\mathcal{W}}(W_i,Y_i)_{i\in I}$ and $\eta\in\mathcal{C}^{\omega_\partial,k+1}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i,V_i)_{i\in I}$, for each $i\in I$ we have

$$D(\gamma_i\circ(\eta_i+\mathrm{id}_{U_i}))=D\gamma_i\circ(\eta_i+\mathrm{id}_{U_i})\cdot(D\eta_i+\mathrm{Id})=\mathfrak{c}^{\mathrm{L}(X_i,Y_i),k}_{\mathcal{W}_i,0}(D\gamma_i,\eta_i)\cdot(D\eta_i+\mathrm{Id}).$$

By the inductive hypothesis, the map $\mathfrak{c}^{\mathrm{L}(X,Y),k}_{\mathcal{W},0}$ is defined and continuous. Further, we see (noting Remark 4.18) that $(D\eta_i+\mathrm{Id})_{i\in I}\in\mathcal{C}^k_{\{1_{\cup_{i\in I}U_i}\}}(U_i,\mathrm{L}(X_i))_{i\in I}$. Hence we can apply Lemma 4.20 to finish the proof.

The case $k=\infty$ follows from the case $k<\infty$ using Lemma 4.10.

Now we prove by induction on $\ell\in\mathbb{N}^*$ that $\mathfrak{c}^{Y,k}_{\mathcal{W},\ell}$ is $\mathcal{C}^\ell$.

$\ell=1$: We know from Proposition 2.6 that

$$\mathfrak{c}^{Y_i,k}_{\mathcal{W}_i,1}:\mathcal{C}^{k+2}_{\mathcal{W}_i}(W_i,Y_i)\times\mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}_i}(U_i,V_i)\to\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}_i}(U_i,Y_i):(\gamma,\eta)\mapsto\gamma\circ(\eta+\mathrm{id}_{U_i})$$

is $\mathcal{C}^1$ for each $i\in I$, and we noted in identity (2.6.1) that its differential is given by

$$d\,\mathfrak{c}^{Y_i,k}_{\mathcal{W}_i,1}(\gamma,\eta;\gamma_1,\eta_1)=\mathfrak{c}^{\mathrm{L}(X_i,Y_i),k}_{\mathcal{W}_i,0}(D\gamma,\eta)\cdot\eta_1+\mathfrak{c}^{Y_i,k}_{\mathcal{W}_i,1}(\gamma_1,\eta).$$

Since we already proved that $\mathfrak{c}^{\mathrm{L}(X,Y),k}_{\mathcal{W},0}$ and $\mathfrak{c}^{Y,k}_{\mathcal{W},1}$ are continuous, we use Lemma 4.20 to see that

$$\mathcal{C}^{k+\ell+1}_{\mathcal{W}}(W_i,Y_i)_{i\in I}\times\mathcal{C}^{\omega_\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i,V_i)_{i\in I}\times\mathcal{C}^{k+\ell+1}_{\mathcal{W}}(W_i,Y_i)_{i\in I}\times\mathcal{C}^{k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i,X_i)_{i\in I}\to\mathcal{C}^{k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i,Y_i)_{i\in I}$$
$$(\gamma,\eta,\gamma^1,\eta^1)\mapsto(\mathfrak{c}^{\mathrm{L}(X_i,Y_i),k}_{\mathcal{W}_i,\ell-1}(D\gamma_i,\eta_i)\cdot\eta^1_i+\mathfrak{c}^{Y_i,k}_{\mathcal{W}_i,\ell}(\gamma^1_i,\eta_i))_{i\in I}$$

is defined and continuous. Hence we can apply Lemma 4.7 to see that $\mathfrak{c}^{Y,k}_{\mathcal{W},\ell}$ is $\mathcal{C}^1$ and $d\mathfrak{c}^{Y,k}_{\mathcal{W},\ell}$ is given by this map.

$\ell\to\ell+1$: We apply the inductive hypothesis and Lemma 4.20 to the identity for $d\mathfrak{c}^{Y,k}_{\mathcal{W},\ell+1}$ derived above to see that $d\mathfrak{c}^{Y,k}_{\mathcal{W},\ell+1}$ is $\mathcal{C}^\ell$, hence $\mathfrak{c}^{Y,k}_{\mathcal{W},\ell+1}$ is $\mathcal{C}^{\ell+1}$. □

Simultaneous inversion We treat inversion. Here an adjusting weight is given explicitly.

Proposition 4.29. *Let $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(\widetilde{U}_i)_{i\in I}$ be families such that U_i and $\widetilde{U}_i$ are open nonempty sets of the Banach space X_i and each U_i is convex. Further assume that there exists $r>0$ such that $\widetilde{U}_i+B_{X_i}(0,r)\subseteq U_i$ for all $i\in I$. Let $\mathcal{W}\subseteq\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\cup_{i\in I}U_i}$ with $1_{\cup_{i\in I}U_i}\in\mathcal{W}$ and $\tau\in]0,1[$. Then the map*

$$I^{\widetilde{U}}_{\mathcal{W}}:=\prod_{i\in I}I^{\widetilde{U}_i}_{\mathcal{W}_i}:\mathcal{D}^\tau\to\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(\widetilde{U}_i,X_i)_{i\in I}:(\phi_i)_{i\in I}\mapsto((\phi_i+\mathrm{id}_{U_i})^{-1}|_{\widetilde{U}_i}-\mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{U}_i})_{i\in I}$$

is defined and smooth, where

$$\mathcal{D}^\tau:=\left\{\phi\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i,X_i)_{i\in I}:\|\phi\|_{1_{\cup_{i\in I}U_i},1}<\tau\text{ and }\|\phi\|_{1_{\cup_{i\in I}U_i},0}<\tfrac{r}{2}(1-\tau)\right\}.$$

Proof. We use Proposition 2.7 to see that $I^{\widetilde{U}}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is defined as a map to $\prod_{i\in I}\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(\widetilde{U}_i,X_i)_{i\in I}$. We prove by induction on k that it takes values in $\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(\widetilde{U}_i,X_i)_{i\in I}$ and is continuous.

$k=0$: By estimate (2.7.3), we have for $f\in\mathcal{W}$, $(\phi_i)_{i\in I}\in\mathcal{D}^\tau$ and each $i\in I$ that

$$\|I^{\widetilde{U}_i}_{\mathcal{W}_i}(\phi_i)\|_{f_i,0}\le\|\phi_i\|_{f_i,0}\tfrac{1}{1-\|\phi_i\|_{1_{\widetilde{U}_i},1}}\le\tfrac{1}{1-\tau}\|\phi_i\|_{f_i,0}.$$

Since $\tau<1$ and i was arbitrary, $I^{\widetilde{U}}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is defined. In the same manner, we can use estimate (2.7.4) to see with Lemma 4.12 that $I^{\widetilde{U}}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is locally Lipschitz continuous and hence continuous.

$k\to k+1$: We use Proposition 4.11. By identity (2.7.2), for $\phi\in\mathcal{D}^\tau$,

$$(D\,I^{\widetilde{U}_i}_{\mathcal{W}_i}(\phi_i))_{i\in I}=(\mathfrak{c}^{\mathrm{L}(X_i)}_{\mathcal{W}_i}(D\phi_i\cdot QI(-D\phi_i)-D\phi_i,I^{\widetilde{U}_i}_{\mathcal{W}_i}(\phi_i)))_{i\in I}.$$

Since $(D\phi_i)_{i\in I}\in\mathcal{C}^{\partial,k}_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i,B_{\mathrm{L}(X_i)}(0,1))_{i\in I}$, we can apply Lemma 4.27 and after that Lemma 4.20, Proposition 4.28 and the inductive hypothesis to finish the proof.

The case $k=\infty$ follows from the case $k<\infty$ with Lemma 4.10.

Now we prove that $I^{\widetilde{U}}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is smooth. More exactly, we show by induction on $\ell\in\mathbb{N}^*$ that it is $\mathcal{C}^\ell$.

$\ell = 1$: By Proposition 2.7, the map $I^{\widetilde{U}_i}_{\mathcal{W}_i}$ is $\mathcal{C}^1$ on $\pi_i(\mathcal{D}^\tau)$ for each $i \in I$, and we stated in identity (2.7.1) that its differential is

$$d\, I^{\widetilde{U}_i}_{\mathcal{W}_i}(\phi;\phi^1) = \mathfrak{c}^{X_i}_{\mathcal{W}_i}(QI(D\phi)\cdot\phi^1 + \phi^1, I^{\widetilde{U}_i}_{\mathcal{W}_i}(\phi)).$$

We conclude using Lemma 4.27, Lemma 4.20 Proposition 4.28 and the continuity of $I^{\widetilde{U}}_{\mathcal{W}}$ that the map

$$\mathcal{D}^\tau \times \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(U_i, X_i)_{i\in I} \to \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(\widetilde{U}_i, X_i)_{i\in I} : (\phi,\phi^1) \mapsto (\mathfrak{c}^{X_i}_{\mathcal{W}}(QI(D\phi_i)\cdot\phi^1_i + \phi^1_i, I^{\widetilde{U}_i}_{\mathcal{W}_i}(\phi_i)))_{i\in I}$$

is continuous. So we can apply Lemma 4.7 to see that $I^{\widetilde{U}}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is $\mathcal{C}^1$ and its differential is given by this map.

$\ell \to \ell{+}1$: We apply the inductive hypothesis, Lemma 4.27, Lemma 4.20 and Proposition 4.28 to the identity for $dI^{\widetilde{U}}_{\mathcal{W}}$ derived above to see that $dI^{\widetilde{U}}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is $\mathcal{C}^\ell$, hence $I^{\widetilde{U}}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is $\mathcal{C}^{\ell+1}$. □

Remark 4.30. We implicitly used in this subsection that the operator norms of the composition resp. evaluation of linear maps are uniformly bounded.

References

[Bas64] Andrée Bastiani. "Applications différentiables et variétés différentiables de dimension infinie". In: *J. Analyse Math.* 13 (1964), pp. 1–114. ISSN: 0021-7670.

[Bil07] Harald Biller. "Analyticity and naturality of the multi-variable functional calculus". In: *Expo. Math.* 25.2 (2007), pp. 131–163. DOI: 10.1016/j.exmath.2006.09.001.

[Eic07] Jürgen Eichhorn. *Global analysis on open manifolds*. New York: Nova Science Publishers Inc., 2007, pp. x+644. ISBN: 978-1-60021-563-6; 1-60021-563-7.

[Glö02a] Helge Glöckner. "Infinite-dimensional Lie groups without completeness restrictions". In: *Geometry and analysis on finite- and infinite-dimensional Lie groups (Bedlewo, 2000)*. Vol. 55. Banach Center Publ. Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2002, pp. 43–59.

[Glö02b] Helge Glöckner. *Patched locally convex spaces, almost local mappings, and diffeomorphism groups of non-compact manifolds*. Manuscript. 2002.

[Glö03] Helge Glöckner. "Lie groups of measurable mappings". In: *Canad. J. Math.* 55.5 (2003), pp. 969–999. DOI: 10.4153/CJM-2003-039-9.

[Irw70] M. C. Irwin. "On the stable manifold theorem". In: *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 2 (1970), pp. 196–198. ISSN: 0024-6093.

[Kel74] Hans Heinrich Keller. *Differential calculus in locally convex spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 417. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974, pp. iii+143.

[KMR15] Andreas Kriegl, Peter W. Michor, and Armin Rainer. "An exotic zoo of diffeomorphism groups on $\mathbb{R}^n$". In: *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* 47.2 (2015), pp. 179–222. DOI: 10.1007/s10455-014-9442-0.

[Mic06] Peter W. Michor. "Some geometric evolution equations arising as geodesic equations on groups of diffeomorphisms including the Hamiltonian approach". In: *Phase space analysis of partial differential equations*. Vol. 69. Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston, 2006, pp. 133–215. URL: http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~michor/geom-evolution.pdf.

[Mic80] Peter W. Michor. *Manifolds of differentiable mappings*. Vol. 3. Shiva Mathematics Series. Nantwich: Shiva Publishing Ltd., 1980, pp. iv+158. ISBN: 0-906812-03-8.

[Mil84] John Milnor. "Remarks on infinite-dimensional Lie groups". In: *Relativity, groups and topology, II (Les Houches, 1983)*. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1984, pp. 1007–1057.

[MM13] Peter W. Michor and David Mumford. "A zoo of diffeomorphism groups on $\mathbb{R}^n$". In: *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* 44.4 (2013), pp. 529–540. DOI: 10.1007/s10455-013-9380

[Nee06] Karl-Hermann Neeb. "Towards a Lie theory of locally convex groups". In: *Jpn. J. Math.* 1.2 (2006), pp. 291–468. ISSN: 0289-2316.

[Pal68] Richard S. Palais. *Foundations of global non-linear analysis*. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1968, pp. vii+131.

[Sch15] A. Schmeding. "The diffeomorphism group of a non-compact orbifold". In: *Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)* 507 (2015), p. 179. DOI: 10.4064/dm507-0-1.

[Wal12] Boris Walter. "Weighted diffeomorphism groups of Banach spaces and weighted mapping groups". In: *Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)* 484 (2012), p. 128. DOI: 10.4064/dm484-0-1.

[Wal13] Boris Walter. *Weighted diffeomorphism groups of Banach spaces and weighted mapping groups*. 2013. arXiv: 1006.5580v3 [math.FA].

[Wal16] Boris Walter. *Weighted diffeomorphism groups of Riemannian manifolds*. 2016. arXiv: 1601.02834 [math.DG].

[Wel76] John C. Wells. "Invariant manifolds on non-linear operators". In: *Pacific J. Math.* 62.1 (1976), pp. 285–293. ISSN: 0030-8730.